**Comments for Pre-delivery version of 117.1.1d EAI Core Operations Services Performance Report IV dated 9/30/03**

| Comments | Change |
|---|---|
| • This document covers the reporting period 7/1/03-9/30/03 – Values and other details in main document and appendices should be updated again to ensure accuracy through 9/30/03. Hw are you going to report on CRs or other actions that occur just prior to or on 9/30/03 if document is delivered on that date? | The Change Request Report will be modified on 9/30 with the current Change Request information prior to submission.  The deliverable will be appropriately updated. |
| • Section 2, 3$^{rd}$ bullet – Statement "Detailed production operations information is contained in the *EAI Production Architecture Performance Report* deliverables". What are the titles and dates of these deliverable? | Added reference to specific deliverable name and delivery dates.  117.1.2a *EAI Production Architecture Performance Report I* – delivered January 27, 2003, 117.1.2b *EAI Production Architecture Performance Report II* delivered – May 9, 2003 |
| • Section 2, 5$^{th}$ bullet, 3$^{rd}$ sub-bullet – Statement "… upgrades scheduled for 9/21".  This date has passed. Did upgrades occur as scheduled? | Revised sentence: "Attended weekly planning meetings for CPS CICS, DB2, and z/OS 1.4 upgrade performed on 9/21." |
| • Section 3, EAI diagram – This document lists systems (e.g., CMDM and FPDM) that are not mentioned in the document117.3.3 EAI Current State Report.  All documents should be consistent with respect to the specific systems interfacing the EAI Bus. | The current version of the  EAI Current State Report contains reference to all FSA Supported Business Systems in Section 2.3. |
| • Section 4.1 – 74 CRs are indicated. Will this value be increased if necessary? | Yes.  The Change Request Report will be modified on 9/30 with the current Change Request information prior to submission.  The deliverable will be appropriately updated. |
| • Appendix E – will spreadsheets/tables be updated to cover through 9/30/03?  What does "TBD" mean in the Environment column?  Why is Test not listed as an Environment? Does Development mean | Yes. The Datacenter creates Change Requests in a different Change Request tool. The Data center tool integration with the FSA's Change Request tool has some issues.  One such issue is that when a Data Center change request is created, the automatically created and corresponding |

| | |
|---|---|
| Development/Test? | FSA Change Request environment field is set to "TBD". For the Purposes of this report all "TBD" have been changed to "Prod". |
| • Section 4.2 – Statement "Detailed software upgrade information is contained in the *117.1.3 EAI Evergreening Schedule and Approach* deliverable". This document is dated 1/31/03. Is it still current? | The Evergreening deliverable was a point-in-time document and therefore not updated, but the software version content is still valid. An agreement was made with FSA that the EAI team would take an opportunistic approach to upgrades. The purpose of this report is to provide the updated view of the EAI architecture. |
| • Section 4.2 – Table entries | |
| o Change "QPasa! Was installed" to "Qpasa! was installed". | Updated. |
| o In New Version column for 7/13/2003 and 9/03/2003 is MQSeries v 5.3, CSD03. Should it be CSD04? | CSD03 version was incorrect and was updated to CSD04. |
| • Section 4.3.1 – Statement "The agent software is installed and QPasa! CSC Development and Production environments". Sentence appears to be missing some words. | Sentence revised. |
| • Section 4.3.1 – Statement " … planned September 29th go live". This date is after the date for this report. | VDC implemented QPasa! on 9/29. Sentence revised. |
| • Appendix A - there is no distinction between software installations that have been completed, are still underway, and that are planned to start in the future. | The purpose of the EAI Software Installation Report is to provide a point-in-time view of the software versions installed on all the EAI related servers. |
| • Section 5 – 12 bullets are presented, but not explained or related to other details in sections 5.1.3.1 & 5.1.3.2. | The 12 bullets correspond to the environments the EAI team supports. Section 5.1 summarizes the major changes to those 12 environments during the reporting period. The changes in bullets 5.1.1, 5.1.2, and 5.1.3 directly correspond to a subset of the 12 supported environments. |
| • Section 5.1.3 – Change "These upgrades have implemented … " to " These upgrades have been implemented …" | Sentence revised. |

| | |
|---|---|
| • Section 6 – There is no clear indication that specific testing was actually performed. | Detailed test conditions/scripts are contained in Appendix G – Test Documents. |
| • Section 7 – What deliverables have been reviewed? | Added reviewed Technical Strategy deliverables. |